Current

Name of Organization

Funding Amount Requested

HCCBG or BCSAF?

Demonstrated Community Need:*

0-1:

- Not well-defined
- Little evidence presented on how the project will enhance the community

2-3:

- Generally described, some evidence presented
- Some evidence of how the project will enhance the community is presented

4-5:

- Clearly described and substantiated community need
- Evident how the project will enhance the community

Score 1 to 5

Project Plan*

0-1:

- Plan is not well-defined
- Number of clients/units are not in alignment with funding request
- Quality measurements are not well-defined

2-3:

- Plan is slightly vague
- Number of clients/units proposed is unclear
- Quality measurements are vague

4-5:

- Plan is clear
- Number of clients/units proposed is clear and justified
- Quality measurements are clear and specific

Score 1 to 5

Coordination*

0-1:

- Coordination with other agencies is not well-defined
- No information is provided about how agency strives to avoid duplication

2-3:

- Generally describes coordination with other agencies
- Generally describes how they strive to avoid unnecessary duplication

4-5:

- Clearly describes coordination with other agencies
- Clearly states how they strive to avoid unnecessary duplication

Score 1 to 5

Equity*

0-1:

- Applicant provides no information on agency's commitment to diversity
- Provides no information about language access

2-3:

- Applicant provides limited information on agency's commitment to diversity
- Provides limited information about language access

4-5:

- Approach is informed by diversity, equity and inclusion
- Specifically identifies and provides efforts around language access

Score 1 to 5

Budget*

0-1:

- No explanation of the budget
- Is not reasonable and does not match the plan and needs

2-3:

- Limited explanation of the budget
- Somewhat reasonable in the match to the plan and needs

4-5:

- Clear explanation of budget
- Reasonable and matches the plan and needs

Score 1 to 5

Overall*

0-1:

- Low project value
- Agency has limited understanding of the project and service
- Project meets none of the needs of the community

2-3:

- Moderate project value
- Agency has a moderate understanding of the project and service
- Project meets some of the needs of the community

4-5:

- Strong project value
- Agency has a good understanding of the project and service
- Meets the needs in the community

Score 1 to 5

Do you recommend funding this project?*

Yes

No

If yes, at what level?*

Enter dollar amount

Additional Comments

2,000 characters left of 2,000

Suggestion 1

HCCBG Values

Priority Order

- Support the safety of older adults
- Support the ability of older adults to stay in their homes (least restricitive environment)
- Improve the lives of older adults

This program supports the goal of keeping older adults safe.

1 2 3 4 5

Crucial for Safety

Comments:

This program supports the ability of older adults to stay in their homes

1 2 3 4 5

Comments:

This program improves the lives of older adults

1 2 3 4 5

Comments:

Suggestion 2

Name of Organization

Funding Amount Requested

HCCBG or BCSAF?

Question: What is the logic behind using numerical scoring if (a) no algorithm is applied to automate at least a first pass allocation of funding and (b) ultimate decisions represent committee members' collective and subjective awareness of needs and interpretation of agency plans?

~~**Demonstrated Community Need:***~~
~~0-1:~~
- ~~Not well-defined~~
- ~~Little evidence presented on how the project will enhance the community~~

~~2-3:~~
- ~~Generally described, some evidence presented~~
- ~~Some evidence of how the project will enhance the community is presented~~

~~4-5:~~
- ~~Clearly described and substantiated community need~~
- ~~Evident how the project will enhance the community~~

~~Score 1 to 5~~

Well Defined Community Need:*

- Yes
- No

~~**Project Plan***~~
~~0-1:~~
- ~~Plan is not well-defined~~
- ~~Number of clients/units are not in alignment with funding request~~
- ~~Quality measurements are not well-defined~~

~~2-3:~~
- ~~Plan is slightly vague~~
- ~~Number of clients/units proposed is unclear~~
- ~~Quality measurements are vague~~

~~4-5:~~

- ~~Plan is clear~~
- ~~Number of clients/units proposed is clear and justified~~
- ~~Quality measurements are clear and specific~~

~~Score 1 to 5~~

Program Plan*

Plan well defined?

- Yes
- No

Client numbers or service units well defined?

- Yes
- No

Quality measures make sense?

- Yes
- No

~~**Coordination***~~
~~0-1:~~
- ~~Coordination with other agencies is not well-defined~~
- ~~No information is provided about how agency strives to avoid duplication~~

~~2-3:~~
- ~~Generally describes coordination with other agencies~~
- ~~Generally describes how they strive to avoid unnecessary duplication~~

~~4-5:~~
- ~~Clearly describes coordination with other agencies~~
- ~~Clearly states how they strive to avoid unnecessary duplication~~

~~Score 1 to 5~~

Coordination*

Do other agencies provide the same or a similar service?

- Yes
- No

Do the agencies (or the applicant) providing the service meet or exceed the need within the community?

- Yes
- No

Is coordination with other agencies adequately described?

- Yes
- No

~~**Equity***~~

~~0-1:~~

- ~~Applicant provides no information on agency's commitment to diversity~~
- ~~Provides no information about language access~~

~~2-3:~~

- ~~Applicant provides limited information on agency's commitment to diversity~~
- ~~Provides limited information about language access~~

~~4-5:~~

- ~~Approach is informed by diversity, equity and inclusion~~
- ~~Specifically identifies and provides efforts around language access~~

~~Score 1 to 5~~

~~**Budget***~~

~~0-1:~~

- ~~No explanation of the budget~~
- ~~Is not reasonable and does not match the plan and needs~~

~~2-3:~~

- ~~Limited explanation of the budget~~
- ~~Somewhat reasonable in the match to the plan and needs~~

~~4-5:~~

- ~~Clear explanation of budget~~
- ~~Reasonable and matches the plan and needs~~

~~Score 1 to 5~~

Budget*

Is the budget clear and reasonable?

- Yes
- No

~~**Overall***~~

~~0-1:~~

- ~~Low project value~~
- ~~Agency has limited understanding of the project and service~~
- ~~Project meets none of the needs of the community~~

~~2-3:~~

- ~~Moderate project value~~
- ~~Agency has a moderate understanding of the project and service~~
- ~~Project meets some of the needs of the community~~

~~4-5:~~

- ~~Strong project value~~
- ~~Agency has a good understanding of the project and service~~
- ~~Meets the needs in the community~~

~~Score 1 to 5~~

Overall*

Program has:

- High value
- Moderate value
- Low value

Is more information from the applicant recommended?

- Yes
- No

Do you recommend funding this project?*

- Yes
- No

If yes, at what level?*
Enter dollar amount

Additional Comments
2,000 characters left of 2,000