Juvenile Crime Prevention Council Funding Decisions Summary

Funding Decision for:	**FY** 2026-2027	Date Completed:	2/25/2026
Program(s) Funded	**Select 1-year or 2-year funding and reason(s) for Funding (Check all that apply)**		
JCPC Administration	☐ 2-year Funding approved for FY and OR ☒ 1-year Funding approved for FY2026/27 **Rationale for 2-year Funding approval**: ☐ Funded in past years ☐ In good standing with county/JCPC and DPS (following PA, timely reports, reporting to JCPC as requested) ☐ Favorable JCPC monitoring ☐ In compliance with Consultant Monitoring ☐ Quality of Service Assessment (QOS) rating is min 70% (min. score of 14) OR progress made on PEP in QOS. ☐ Provides an essential dispositional option, explain: ☐ Meets target population as described in Program Agreement (*number served, and described target population*), with minimal exceptions (i.e. less than 10% variance) ☐ Meets funding priority ☐ Compatible with research ☐ Cost efficient ☐ Addresses reductions of complaints, violations of supervision & convictions ☐ Has evaluation component ☐ Addresses parental accountability ☐ Addresses use of alcohol/controlled substances ☐ Addresses restitution to victims ☐ Addresses gang participation ☐ Would increase funding to this service if funds were available ☒ Other Other		
Burke Recovery Assessment & Treatment	☐ 2-year Funding approved for FY and OR ☒ 1-year Funding approved for FY2026/27 **Rationale for 2-year Funding approval**: ☐ Funded in past years ☐ In good standing with county/JCPC and DPS (following PA, timely reports, reporting to JCPC as requested) ☐ Favorable JCPC monitoring ☐ In compliance with Consultant Monitoring ☐ Quality of Service Assessment (QOS) rating is min 70% (min. score of 14) OR progress made on PEP in QOS. ☐ Provides an essential dispositional option, explain: ☐ Meets target population as described in Program Agreement (*number served, and described target population*), with minimal exceptions (i.e. less than 10% variance) ☒ Meets funding priority ☒ Compatible with research ☒ Cost efficient ☒ Addresses reductions of complaints, violations of supervision & convictions ☒ Has evaluation component ☒ Addresses parental accountability ☒ Addresses use of alcohol/controlled substances ☐ Addresses restitution to victims ☐ Addresses gang participation ☒ Would increase funding to this service if funds were available ☐ Other Other		
Conflict Resolution Center Mediation, Education & Teen Court	☐ 2-year Funding approved for FY and OR ☒ 1-year Funding approved for FY2026/27 **Rationale for 2-year Funding approval**: ☐ Funded in past years ☐ In good standing with county/JCPC and DPS (following PA, timely reports, reporting to JCPC as requested) ☐ Favorable JCPC monitoring ☐ In compliance with Consultant Monitoring ☐ Quality of Service Assessment (QOS) rating is min 70% (min. score of 14) OR progress made on PEP in QOS. ☐ Provides an essential dispositional option, explain: ☐ Meets target population as described in Program Agreement (*number served, and described target population*), with minimal exceptions (i.e. less than 10% variance) ☒ Meets funding priority ☒ Compatible with research ☒ Cost efficient ☒ Addresses reductions of complaints, violations of supervision & convictions ☐ Has evaluation component ☐ Addresses parental accountability ☐ Addresses use of alcohol/controlled substances ☐ Addresses restitution to victims ☐ Addresses gang participation ☒ Would increase funding to this service if funds were available ☐ Other Other		
Kids at Work	☐ 2-year Funding approved for FY and OR ☒ 1-year Funding approved for FY2026/27 **Rationale for 2-year Funding approval**: ☐ Funded in past years ☐ In good standing with county/JCPC and DPS (following PA, timely reports, reporting to JCPC as requested) ☐ Favorable JCPC monitoring ☐ In compliance with Consultant Monitoring ☐ Quality of Service Assessment (QOS) rating is min 70% (min. score of 14) OR progress made on PEP in QOS. ☐ Provides an essential dispositional option, explain: ☐ Meets target population as described in Program Agreement (*number served, and described target population*), with minimal exceptions (i.e. less than 10% variance) ☒ Meets funding priority ☒ Compatible with research ☒ Cost efficient ☒ Addresses reductions of complaints, violations of supervision & convictions ☐ Has evaluation component ☐ Addresses parental accountability ☒ Addresses use of alcohol/controlled substances ☐ Addresses restitution to victims ☐ Addresses gang participation ☒ Would increase funding to this service if funds were available ☐ Other Other		

November 2, 2020

Juvenile Crime Prevention Council Funding Decisions Summary

Repay - Just Girls	☐ 2-year Funding approved for FY and OR ☒ 1-year Funding approved for FY2026/27 **Rationale for 2-year Funding approval**: ☐ Funded in past years ☐ In good standing with county/JCPC and DPS (following PA, timely reports, reporting to JCPC as requested) ☐ Favorable JCPC monitoring ☐ In compliance with Consultant Monitoring ☐ Quality of Service Assessment (QOS) rating is min 70% (min. score of 14) OR progress made on PEP in QOS. ☐ Provides an essential dispositional option, explain: ☐ Meets target population as described in Program Agreement (*number served, and described target population*), with minimal exceptions (i.e. less than 10% variance) ☒ Meets funding priority ☒ Compatible with research ☒ Cost efficient ☒ Addresses reductions of complaints, violations of supervision & convictions ☐ Has evaluation component ☒ Addresses parental accountability ☐ Addresses use of alcohol/controlled substances ☐ Addresses restitution to victims ☐ Addresses gang participation ☒ Would increase funding to this service if funds were available ☐ Other Other
Repay - Psychological Services	☐ 2-year Funding approved for FY and OR ☒ 1-year Funding approved for FY2026/27 **Rationale for 2-year Funding approval**: ☐ Funded in past years ☐ In good standing with county/JCPC and DPS (following PA, timely reports, reporting to JCPC as requested) ☐ Favorable JCPC monitoring ☐ In compliance with Consultant Monitoring ☐ Quality of Service Assessment (QOS) rating is min 70% (min. score of 14) OR progress made on PEP in QOS. ☐ Provides an essential dispositional option, explain: ☐ Meets target population as described in Program Agreement (*number served, and described target population*), with minimal exceptions (i.e. less than 10% variance) ☒ Meets funding priority ☒ Compatible with research ☐ Cost efficient ☒ Addresses reductions of complaints, violations of supervision & convictions ☒ Has evaluation component ☐ Addresses parental accountability ☒ Addresses use of alcohol/controlled substances ☐ Addresses restitution to victims ☒ Addresses gang participation ☒ Would increase funding to this service if funds were available ☐ Other Other
Repay - SAIS	☐ 2-year Funding approved for FY and OR ☒ 1-year Funding approved for FY2026/27 **Rationale for 2-year Funding approval**: ☐ Funded in past years ☐ In good standing with county/JCPC and DPS (following PA, timely reports, reporting to JCPC as requested) ☐ Favorable JCPC monitoring ☐ In compliance with Consultant Monitoring ☐ Quality of Service Assessment (QOS) rating is min 70% (min. score of 14) OR progress made on PEP in QOS. ☐ Provides an essential dispositional option, explain: ☐ Meets target population as described in Program Agreement (*number served, and described target population*), with minimal exceptions (i.e. less than 10% variance) ☒ Meets funding priority ☒ Compatible with research ☒ Cost efficient ☒ Addresses reductions of complaints, violations of supervision & convictions ☐ Has evaluation component ☒ Addresses parental accountability ☐ Addresses use of alcohol/controlled substances ☐ Addresses restitution to victims ☐ Addresses gang participation ☒ Would increase funding to this service if funds were available ☐ Other Other
Repay - Restorative Services	☐ 2-year Funding approved for FY and OR ☐ 1-year Funding approved for FY2026/27 **Rationale for 2-year Funding approval**: ☐ Funded in past years ☐ In good standing with county/JCPC and DPS (following PA, timely reports, reporting to JCPC as requested) ☐ Favorable JCPC monitoring ☐ In compliance with Consultant Monitoring ☐ Quality of Service Assessment (QOS) rating is min 70% (min. score of 14) OR progress made on PEP in QOS. ☐ Provides an essential dispositional option, explain: ☐ Meets target population as described in Program Agreement (*number served, and described target population*), with minimal exceptions (i.e. less than 10% variance) ☒ Meets funding priority ☒ Compatible with research ☒ Cost efficient ☐ Addresses reductions of complaints, violations of supervision & convictions ☐ Has evaluation component ☐ Addresses parental accountability ☐ Addresses use of alcohol/controlled substances ☒ Addresses restitution to victims ☐ Addresses gang participation ☒ Would increase funding to this service if funds were available ☐ Other Other

November 2, 2020

Juvenile Crime Prevention Council Funding Decisions Summary

Repay - R.I.S.E.	☐ 2-year Funding approved for FY and OR ☒ 1-year Funding approved for FY2026/27 **Rationale for 2-year Funding approval**: ☐ Funded in past years ☐ In good standing with county/JCPC and DPS (following PA, timely reports, reporting to JCPC as requested) ☐ Favorable JCPC monitoring ☐ In compliance with Consultant Monitoring ☐ Quality of Service Assessment (QOS) rating is min 70% (min. score of 14) OR progress made on PEP in QOS. ☐ Provides an essential dispositional option, explain: ☐ Meets target population as described in Program Agreement (*number served, and described target population*), with minimal exceptions (i.e. less than 10% variance) ☒ Meets funding priority ☒ Compatible with research ☒ Cost efficient ☒ Addresses reductions of complaints, violations of supervision & convictions ☐ Has evaluation component ☒ Addresses parental accountability ☐ Addresses use of alcohol/controlled substances ☐ Addresses restitution to victims ☐ Addresses gang participation ☒ Would increase funding to this service if funds were available ☐ Other Other
	☐ 2-year Funding approved for FY and OR ☐ 1-year Funding approved for FY2026/27 **Rationale for 2-year Funding approval**: ☐ Funded in past years ☐ In good standing with county/JCPC and DPS (following PA, timely reports, reporting to JCPC as requested) ☐ Favorable JCPC monitoring ☐ In compliance with Consultant Monitoring ☐ Quality of Service Assessment (QOS) rating is min 70% (min. score of 14) OR progress made on PEP in QOS. ☐ Provides an essential dispositional option, explain: ☐ Meets target population as described in Program Agreement (*number served, and described target population*), with minimal exceptions (i.e. less than 10% variance) ☐ Meets funding priority ☐ Compatible with research ☐ Cost efficient ☐ Addresses reductions of complaints, violations of supervision & convictions ☐ Has evaluation component ☐ Addresses parental accountability ☐ Addresses use of alcohol/controlled substances ☐ Addresses restitution to victims ☐ Addresses gang participation ☐ Would increase funding to this service if funds were available ☐ Other Other
	☐ 2-year Funding approved for FY and OR ☐ 1-year Funding approved for FY2026/27 **Rationale for 2-year Funding approval**: ☐ Funded in past years ☐ In good standing with county/JCPC and DPS (following PA, timely reports, reporting to JCPC as requested) ☐ Favorable JCPC monitoring ☐ In compliance with Consultant Monitoring ☐ Quality of Service Assessment (QOS) rating is min 70% (min. score of 14) OR progress made on PEP in QOS. ☐ Provides an essential dispositional option, explain: ☐ Meets target population as described in Program Agreement (*number served, and described target population*), with minimal exceptions (i.e. less than 10% variance) ☐ Meets funding priority ☐ Compatible with research ☐ Cost efficient ☐ Addresses reductions of complaints, violations of supervision & convictions ☐ Has evaluation component ☐ Addresses parental accountability ☐ Addresses use of alcohol/controlled substances ☐ Addresses restitution to victims ☐ Addresses gang participation ☐ Would increase funding to this service if funds were available ☐ Other Other
	☐ 2-year Funding approved for FY and OR ☐ 1-year Funding approved for FY **Rationale for 2-year Funding approval**: ☐ Funded in past years ☐ In good standing with county/JCPC and DPS (following PA, timely reports, reporting to JCPC as requested) ☐ Favorable JCPC monitoring ☐ In compliance with Consultant Monitoring ☐ Quality of Service Assessment (QOS) rating is min 70% (min. score of 14) OR progress made on PEP in QOS. ☐ Provides an essential dispositional option, explain: ☐ Meets target population as described in Program Agreement (*number served, and described target population*), with minimal exceptions (i.e. less than 10% variance) ☐ Meets funding priority ☐ Compatible with research ☐ Cost efficient ☐ Addresses reductions of complaints, violations of supervision & convictions ☐ Has evaluation component ☐ Addresses parental accountability ☐ Addresses use of alcohol/controlled substances ☐ Addresses restitution to victims ☐ Addresses gang participation ☐ Would increase funding to this service if funds were available ☐ Other Other

November 2, 2020

Juvenile Crime Prevention Council Funding Decisions Summary

	☐ 2-year Funding approved for FY and OR ☐ 1-year Funding approved for FY **Rationale for 2-year Funding approval**: ☐ Funded in past years ☐ In good standing with county/JCPC and DPS (following PA, timely reports, reporting to JCPC as requested) ☐ Favorable JCPC monitoring ☐ In compliance with Consultant Monitoring ☐ Quality of Service Assessment (QOS) rating is min 70% (min. score of 14) OR progress made on PEP in QOS. ☐ Provides an essential dispositional option, explain: ☐ Meets target population as described in Program Agreement (*number served, and described target population*), with minimal exceptions (i.e. less than 10% variance) ☐ Meets funding priority ☐ Compatible with research ☐ Cost efficient ☐ Addresses reductions of complaints, violations of supervision & convictions ☐ Has evaluation component ☐ Addresses parental accountability ☐ Addresses use of alcohol/controlled substances ☐ Addresses restitution to victims ☐ Addresses gang participation ☐ Would increase funding to this service if funds were available ☐ Other Other
	☐ 2-year Funding approved for FY and OR ☐ 1-year Funding approved for FY **Rationale for 2-year Funding approval**: ☐ Funded in past years ☐ In good standing with county/JCPC and DPS (following PA, timely reports, reporting to JCPC as requested) ☐ Favorable JCPC monitoring ☐ In compliance with Consultant Monitoring ☐ Quality of Service Assessment (QOS) rating is min 70% (min. score of 14) OR progress made on PEP in QOS. ☐ Provides an essential dispositional option, explain: ☐ Meets target population as described in Program Agreement (*number served, and described target population*), with minimal exceptions (i.e. less than 10% variance) ☐ Meets funding priority ☐ Compatible with research ☐ Cost efficient ☐ Addresses reductions of complaints, violations of supervision & convictions ☐ Has evaluation component ☐ Addresses parental accountability ☐ Addresses use of alcohol/controlled substances ☐ Addresses restitution to victims ☐ Addresses gang participation ☐ Would increase funding to this service if funds were available ☐ Other Other
	☐ 2-year Funding approved for FY and OR ☐ 1-year Funding approved for FY **Rationale for 2-year Funding approval**: ☐ Funded in past years ☐ In good standing with county/JCPC and DPS (following PA, timely reports, reporting to JCPC as requested) ☐ Favorable JCPC monitoring ☐ In compliance with Consultant Monitoring ☐ Quality of Service Assessment (QOS) rating is min 70% (min. score of 14) OR progress made on PEP in QOS. ☐ Provides an essential dispositional option, explain: ☐ Meets target population as described in Program Agreement (*number served, and described target population*), with minimal exceptions (i.e. less than 10% variance) ☐ Meets funding priority ☐ Compatible with research ☐ Cost efficient ☐ Addresses reductions of complaints, violations of supervision & convictions ☐ Has evaluation component ☐ Addresses parental accountability ☐ Addresses use of alcohol/controlled substances ☐ Addresses restitution to victims ☐ Addresses gang participation ☐ Would increase funding to this service if funds were available ☐ Other Other

Juvenile Crime Prevention Council Funding Decisions Summary

Program Not Funded	Reason for Not Funding (Check all that apply)
Repay - Parenting for Success	☒ Lack of funding, addresses priority need and would fund if resources allowed ☐ Does not meet funding priority ☐ Not compatible with research ☐ Lesser quality than another program funded of its type ☐ Greater cost than program of same type and quality ☐ Other ☐ Other
Temporary Shelter Services	☒ Lack of funding, addresses priority need and would fund if resources allowed ☐ Does not meet funding priority ☐ Not compatible with research ☐ Lesser quality than another program funded of its type ☐ Greater cost than program of same type and quality ☐ Other ☐ Other
	☐ Lack of funding, addresses priority need and would fund if resources allowed ☐ Does not meet funding priority ☐ Not compatible with research ☐ Lesser quality than another program funded of its type ☐ Greater cost than program of same type and quality ☐ Other ☐ Other
	☐ Lack of funding, addresses priority need and would fund if resources allowed ☐ Does not meet funding priority ☐ Not compatible with research ☐ Lesser quality than another program funded of its type ☐ Greater cost than program of same type and quality ☐ Other ☐ Other
	☐ Lack of funding, addresses priority need and would fund if resources allowed ☐ Does not meet funding priority ☐ Not compatible with research ☐ Lesser quality than another program funded of its type ☐ Greater cost than program of same type and quality ☐ Other ☐ Other
	☐ Lack of funding, addresses priority need and would fund if resources allowed ☐ Does not meet funding priority ☐ Not compatible with research ☐ Lesser quality than another program funded of its type ☐ Greater cost than program of same type and quality ☐ Other ☐ Other
	☐ Lack of funding, addresses priority need and would fund if resources allowed ☐ Does not meet funding priority ☐ Not compatible with research ☐ Lesser quality than another program funded of its type ☐ Greater cost than program of same type and quality ☐ Other ☐ Other
	☐ Lack of funding, addresses priority need and would fund if resources allowed ☐ Does not meet funding priority ☐ Not compatible with research ☐ Lesser quality than another program funded of its type ☐ Greater cost than program of same type and quality ☐ Other ☐ Other
	☐ Lack of funding, addresses priority need and would fund if resources allowed ☐ Does not meet funding priority ☐ Not compatible with research ☐ Lesser quality than another program funded of its type ☐ Greater cost than program of same type and quality ☐ Other ☐ Other
	☐ Lack of funding, addresses priority need and would fund if resources allowed ☐ Does not meet funding priority ☐ Not compatible with research ☐ Lesser quality than another program funded of its type ☐ Greater cost than program of same type and quality ☐ Other ☐ Other

November 2, 2020